



Spinal myoclonus following spinal anesthesia for caesarean section

Satya Srinivas¹, Y.S Kanni², B. Sowjanya Reddy³, Vishwa Bharathi⁴

GJMEDPH 2014; Vol. 3, issue 2

¹ Assistant professor

² Professor

³ Post graduate

⁴ Post graduate

Department of General Medicine
Kamineni institute of medical
sciences
Marketpally, Andhra Pradesh, India

Conflict of Interest—none

Funding—none

ABSTRACT

A primi woman presented with preeclampsia at 39 weeks gestation. Spinal anaesthesia was employed for Caesarean section. A day after delivery she developed twitching of her legs, that was initially thought to be post-partum eclampsia but was subsequently diagnosed as spinal myoclonus. She was treated with diazepam, carbamazepine with improvement over the next 4 days, and discharged home. Her symptoms resolved completely 15 days after the initial event.

Keywords: Spinal Myoclonus, Spinal anaesthesia, Lower section Caesarean section

INTRODUCTION

Myoclonus comprises sudden, involuntary contractions of a group of muscles, a single muscle, or part of a muscle. Spinal, focal or segmental myoclonus has features that distinguish it from other forms of more generalized myoclonus. The common causes include tumor, infection, trauma and degenerative processes¹. Swanson in his extensive report of 67 cases of myoclonus has classified them mainly as segmental and generalized.

CASE REPORT

A 25-year-old primi with no previous or family history of epilepsy, presented to our delivery suite at 39 weeks gestation because of an episode of hypertension and proteinuria over the previous fortnight. On admission, her blood pressure was 140 / 90 mmHg and all other parameters were normal. Due to an abnormal fetal cardiotocograph, a decision was made to deliver her by lower segment Caesarean section. Spinal technique was chosen for anaesthesia. The operation proceeded uneventfully and a live male infant weighing 2.6 kg was delivered. All other surgical findings were unremarkable and routine antibiotic prophylaxis was administered. The following day the patient complained of a severe frontal headache that was not postural, and that resolved with rest and simple analgesics. Her blood pressure was 150 / 90 mmHg

and she was given 10 mg slow release nifedepine orally. Her subsequent recovery was unremarkable, with her blood pressure controlled by 10 mg slow release nifedepine orally, twice daily. A day after the delivery, she suddenly developed a tingling sensation in her legs, with some twitching predominantly affecting her both legs. This was immediately followed by headache, occurring every 2–4 minutes and lasting up to 10 seconds.

Throughout these events, she was conscious and orientated, though understandably very anxious. Her fundi were normal and reflexes were all present and brisk, and there were no focal neurological signs. Her blood pressure had risen to 180 / 100 mmHg. She was afebrile and all her blood tests including serum electrolytes, clotting time and uric acid were found to be within normal limits. The major concern at the time was that of postpartum eclampsia, and she was given 10 mg sublingual nifedepine to control the hypertension and 10 mg diazepam i.v., which had no effect on her involuntary movements. She was transferred to the delivery suite for more intensive monitoring and the on-call anaesthetist was contacted. A further 10 mg diazepam was given. In the light of her completely orientated state, with absent focal neurological signs and lack of response to benzodiazepines it was concluded that the fits were



not of post-partum eclampsia. An MRI of the brain and lower thoracic lumbar spine was performed the following morning, and no abnormalities were reported. As the twitching movements were still continuing, we made a clinical diagnosis of spinal myoclonus. She was commenced on 200 mg carbamazepine orally, twice daily, supplemented with 10 mg diazepam. Improvement in the frequency and number of the twitches occurred in her left leg in 2 days and the right leg over next 2 days. She was eventually discharged home a week later.

DISCUSSION

The first recorded case of clinical myoclonus dates back to 1881, when Friedreich described a sporadic case of 'paramyoclonus multiplex' (myoclonus simplex), consisting of diffuse myoclonus of the trunk and extremities³. The prognosis in these patients was clearly better than in those with concomitant seizures and mental changes as described by others⁴. There are a few cases of myoclonus reported in the literature following spinal anaesthesia⁵⁻⁷. Fox et al. reported a 57-year-old woman who developed myoclonus of her legs 7 hours after undergo inguineal ureterostomy under spinal anaesthesia with heavy tetracaine in 10% dextrose with epinephrine⁶. Her symptoms diminished considerably with intravenous diazepam but did not disappear completely, though the patient recovered fully within 24 h. Swanson et al. coined the term 'benign essential myoclonus', reserved for those patients with transient or persistent symptoms without any neurological features². Drug-induced neurotoxicity is another possibility⁸. In an extensive comparative study, Yamashita et al. observed the effects of intrathecal tetracaine, lidocaine, bupivacaine and

ropivacaine, and found the least degree of vacuolation of the dorsal funiculus with ropivacaine⁸. The most striking feature in this case was myoclonus, which was largely limited to the legs. The histological changes confirmed the presence of an inflammatory lesion confined to the grey matter, particularly in the dorsal and lumbar region. Opioid induced myoclonus was studied extensively in animals^{9,10}. Our patient developed spinal myoclonus of the both limbs in the puerperium.

Although she had suffered from pre-eclampsia, a diagnosis of eclampsia was ruled out on the basis of a lack of focal neurological signs, a normal orientated state and normal biochemical markers. Viral myelitis or bacterial infections are unlikely as the patient was a pyrexial with a normal white cell count. The cause of her myoclonus therefore remains unsolved. However, the spinal cord during the spinal anaesthesia may have resulted in transient sub-acute spinal neuroneitis. There was transient paraesthesia in the legs. It was postulated that the inhibitory effects of the local anesthetic might have led to heightened irritability of the α -motor neurons, leading to myoclonus. This suggests that the myoclonus was initiated by spinal cord disease. Electromyography would have been an ideal diagnostic tool but was impractical at that level. Spinal myoclonus is remarkably sensitive to medical therapy with clonazepam, sodium valproate and carbamazepine. Our patient recovered completely from the spinal myoclonus with no residual neurological deficit and hence her prognosis appears to be excellent.

REFERENCES

1. Hoehn MM, Cherington M. Spinal myoclonus. *Neurology* 1977; 27: 942-6
2. Swanson PD, Luttrell CN, Magladeroy JW. Myoclonus – a report of 67 cases and review of literature. *Medicine* 1962; 41:339-56
3. Malinovsky JM, Charles F, Baudrimont M, et al. Intrathecal ropivacaine in rabbits: pharmacodynamic and neurotoxicologic study. *Anesthesiology* 2003; 97: 512-9
4. Aigner BR, Mulder DW. Myoclonus. Clinical significance and an approach to classification. *Archives of Neurology* 1960; 2: 600-15
5. Ford B, Pullman SL, Khandji A, Goodman R. Spinal myoclonus induced by an intrathecal catheter. *Movement Disorders* 1997; 12: 1042-5
6. Fox EJ, Villanueva R, Schutta HS. Myoclonus following spinal anaesthesia. *Neurology* 1979; 29: 379-80
7. Hachisuka K, Ogata H, Kohshi K. Post-operative paraplegia with spinal myoclonus possibly caused by epidural anaesthesia: case report. *Paraplegia* 1991; 29: 131-6



8. Yamashita A, Matsumoto M, Matsumoto S, Itoh M, Kawai K, Sakabe T. A comparison of neurotoxic effects on the spinal cord of tetracaine, lidocaine, bupivacaine, and ropivacaine administered intrathecally in rabbits. *Anesthesia and Analgesia* 2003; 97: 512–9
9. Rozan JP, Kahn CH, Warfield CA. Epidural and intravenous opioid-induced neuroexcitation. *Anesthesiology* 1995; 83:860–3
10. Chaney MA. Side effects of intrathecal and epidural opioids. *Canadian Journal of Anaesthesia* 1995; 42: 891–903.